

USDC-BALTIMORE  
24 DEC 5 PM 1:13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA

v.

SAM LEE,

Defendant.

\* CRIMINAL NO. RDB-24-21

\*  
\* (Conspiracy to Commit Securities  
\* Fraud, 18 U.S.C. § 371; Wire Fraud  
\* Conspiracy, 18 U.S.C. § 1349;  
\* Forfeiture, 18 U.S.C. §§ 981(a)(1)(C)  
\* and (b)(1); 982(b)(1), 21 U.S.C. § 853,  
\* and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c))  
\*  
\*

\*\*\*\*\*

**SUPERSEDING INDICTMENT**

**COUNT ONE**

**(Conspiracy to Commit Securities Fraud)**

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland charges that:

At all times relevant to this Superseding Indictment:

**Relevant Entities, Individuals, Terms**

1. HyperFund, a/k/a “HyperTech,” a/k/a “HyperCapital,” a/k/a “HyperVerse,” a/k/a “HyperNation” (collectively, “HyperFund”) was an unincorporated organization established in approximately June 2020. HyperFund operated a purportedly legitimate decentralized finance, or “DeFi,” cryptocurrency investment platform and touted its investment program to the public through social media and other means. In truth, HyperFund was a global securities fraud and wire fraud scheme that obtained approximately \$1.89 billion from victim-investors world-wide.

2. HyperFund conducted its business principally by means of websites, including <http://thehyperfund.online>, <https://thehyperfund.com/>, <https://h5.thehypervarse.net/>, and

<https://www.thehypervarse.net/> (collectively, the “HyperFund Websites”). The HyperFund Websites were accessible worldwide to the public, including to prospective investors residing within the District of Maryland.

3. Defendant **SAM LEE (“LEE”)** was a citizen of Australia and resident of the United Arab Emirates. **LEE** was a co-founder of HyperFund.

4. Brenda Chunga, a/k/a “Bitcoin Beautee” (“CHUNGA”) was a resident of the District of Maryland. CHUNGA was a promoter of HyperFund.

5. The United States Securities and Exchange Commission (“SEC”) was an independent agency of the executive branch of the United States government. The SEC was responsible for enforcing federal securities laws and promulgating rules and regulations in keeping with the same.

6. “Cryptocurrency” was a digital currency in which transactions were verified and records were maintained by a decentralized system using cryptography, rather than a centralized authority such as a bank or government. Like traditional fiat currency, there were multiple types of cryptocurrencies, such as Bitcoin (BTC) and Tether (USDT).

7. DeFi generally referred to various financial applications, or technology, in blockchain and cryptocurrency that sought to remove centralized institutions, third parties, and other intermediaries from financial transactions. Many DeFi applications were built on top of the Ethereum blockchain. Ethereum’s platform allowed smart contracts to be deployed directly to the blockchain.

8. Under Title 15, United States Code, Section 77b(a)(1), a “security” included a wide range of investment vehicles, including “investment contracts.” Investment contracts were instruments, schemes, or transactions through which a person invested money in a common

enterprise and reasonably expected profits or returns derived from the entrepreneurial or managerial efforts of others. Federal law required that an issuer of securities register offers and sales of those securities with the SEC when the issuer offered and sold securities to the public, absent certain specified exemptions.

**The Conspiracy**

9. From in or about June 2020 through at least in or about November 2022, in the District of Maryland and elsewhere, the defendant herein,

**SAM LEE,**

did knowingly and intentionally conspire and agree with others, known and unknown, including CHUNGA, to commit an offense against the United States, namely: securities fraud, in violation of Title 15, United States Code, Section 78j(b) and 78ff and Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5.

**Purpose of the Conspiracy**

10. It was a purpose of the conspiracy for LEE and his co-conspirators to unjustly enrich themselves by: (a) inducing investors to invest in HyperFund through materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises regarding the returns that investors would earn on their investments; and (b) concealing the misappropriation of investors' funds for their own personal use and benefit.

**Manner and Means of the Conspiracy**

11. It was part of the conspiracy that LEE and his co-conspirators willfully and knowingly, directly and indirectly, by the use of the means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce, would and did use and employ, in connection with the purchase and sale of securities, manipulative and deceptive devices and contrivances, contrary to Rule 10b-5 of the Rules and

Regulations of the United States Securities and Exchange Commission, Title 17, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 240.10b-5, by: (i) employing devices, schemes, and artifices to defraud; (ii) making one or more untrue statements of material fact and omitting to state one or more material facts necessary in order to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which they were made, not misleading; and (iii) engaging in acts, practices, and courses of business which would and did operate as a fraud and deceit upon one or more investors and potential investors in HyperFund, in connection with the purchase and sale of investments in HyperFund's investment programs directly and indirectly, by use of means and instrumentalities of interstate commerce and the mails, contrary to Title 15, United States Code, Sections 78j(b) and 78ff.

12. It was further part of the conspiracy that **LEE** and his co-conspirators knowingly devised and intended to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud, and to obtain money and property by means of false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and would and did transmit and cause to be transmitted by means of wire, radio, and television communication in interstate and foreign commerce, certain writings, signs, signals, pictures, and sounds for the purpose of executing such scheme and artifice, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Section 1343.

13. It was further part of the conspiracy that **LEE** and his co-conspirators made and caused to be made materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations, and promises, and concealed and caused others to conceal material facts from, investors and potential investors, regarding HyperFund's purported investment platform, expected investment returns, and the use of investors' funds.

14. It was further part of the conspiracy that **LEE** and his co-conspirators used interstate and foreign wire communications to encourage investors, including in the District of Maryland, to invest in HyperFund.

**Overt Acts**

15. In furtherance of the conspiracy and to effect the illegal objects above, the following acts, among others, were committed in the District of Maryland and elsewhere:

16. In approximately June 2020, **LEE**, his co-conspirators, and others formed HyperFund.

17. In or around February 2021, **LEE** and his co-conspirators offered and sold investment contracts to the public, including investors residing within the District of Maryland, through HyperFund’s online investment platform.

18. In or around February 2021, using interstate wire communications, CHUNGA caused a video to be published to social media in which she fraudulently stated that HyperFund was “offering . . . an opportunity to earn rewards from blockchain technology and the biggest wealth transfer ever,” and was the “world’s most sustainable passive rewards program” (the “February 2021 presentation”).

19. The February 2021 presentation included the following materially deceptive slides:



WE'RE BUILDING THE BIGGEST BLOCKCHAIN COMMUNITY

## BECOME A MEMBER

TRILLIONS OF DOLLARS ARE POURING  
INTO BLOCKCHAIN PROJECTS & DeFi  
(DECENTRALISED FINANCE) THIS DECADE

HYPERFUND IS OFFERING YOU AN  
OPPORTUNITY TO EARN REWARDS FROM  
BLOCKCHAIN TECHNOLOGY & THE BIGGEST  
WEALTH TRANSFER EVER



© 2019/2020  
No recordings allowed

A UNIQUE ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY



LEARN, REWARDS, SPECULATE

THE OPPORTUNITY

## HYPERFUND

PART 1

THE WORLDS MOST SUSTAINABLE  
PASSIVE REWARDS PROGRAM



HyperFund  
Global

© 2019/2020  
No recordings allowed

A UNIQUE ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY



## CHOOSE YOUR MEMBERSHIP

\$10,000 | \$1000 | \$500 | \$300

RECEIVE BETWEEN **0.5% TO 1% DAILY** IN PASSIVE REWARDS UNTIL  
THE COMPANY **DOUBLES** YOUR MEMBERSHIP VALUE

\*If \$300 is too high a figure to become a member at HyperCommunity, it's time to re-evaluate the current system you are using to make money.

© 2019/2020  
No recordings allowed

A UNIQUE ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY

20. The February 2021 presentation fraudulently claimed that investors who purchased “memberships” would receive between 0.5% to 1% daily in passive rewards until the company either doubled or tripled the investor’s initial investment.

21. The February 2021 presentation also fraudulently claimed that an investor who invested \$1,000 would receive \$150 per month, and that in 20 months, the investor would receive \$3,000, as set forth below:

**H HYPER TECH** JOIN, PURCHASE & RECEIVE REWARDS THE OPPORTUNITY

~~RECEIVE DOUBLE TRIPLE~~

PASSIVE REWARDS PAID 7 DAYS A WEEK  
(MIN 0.5% - MAX 1% PER DAY)

**MEMBERSHIP VALUE**

\$1000 = min \$150pm x 20 = \$3000  
\$500 = min \$75pm x 20 \$1500  
\$300 = min \$45pm x 20 \$900

NO MONTHLY FEES & NO FORCED UPGRADES

© 2019/2020  
No recordings allowed

A UNIQUE ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY

**H HYPER TECH** JOIN, PURCHASE & RECEIVE REWARDS THE OPPORTUNITY

**Passive Rewards**

**Hyper Fund**



0.5% of \$1,000 = \$5  
\$5 x 7 days/week = \$35  
\$5 x 30 days/month = \$150  
\$5 x 365 days/year = \$1,825  
\$5 x 600 days/HyperFund Account Term = \$3,000

**Total = \$3,000 Earned Rewards**

© 2019/2020  
No recordings allowed

A UNIQUE ONCE IN A LIFETIME OPPORTUNITY

22. To convince investors that HyperFund could make such payments, HyperFund fraudulently claimed, for example in the February 2021 presentation, that its payments would be

disbursed in part from its revenues from large-scale crypto mining operations, when in truth and fact, HyperFund did not have such operations:



23. HyperFund, in truth, did not pay investors between 0.5% to 1% daily in passive rewards as promised. Instead, while investors initially were able to withdraw their funds, HyperFund eventually blocked investor withdrawals, beginning in at least July 2021.

24. In or about June 2021, the conspirators caused to be released a video of an individual identified as the “Compliance Director” for HyperFund. In truth, the “Compliance Director” was introduced under a fake name and had a prior federal conviction for wire fraud.

25. On or about July 22, 2021, the “Compliance Director” appeared on a public YouTube video about HyperFund titled, “THIS IS WHY THIS IS LEGIT[.]” The video falsely claimed that the “Compliance Director” had “worked in the compliance industry for more than a decade” and that she was “brought on board by HyperFund to tackle the challenging compliance issues that the project is facing, especially due to exploding growth.”

26. In that same video, the “Compliance Director” claimed that HyperFund was a “membership rewards plan” similar to “American Express.” The “Compliance Director” stated that “HyperFund takes compliance rules extremely important [sic]” and that HyperFund was “not subject to oversight by the SEC” and “legal in every jurisdiction in the world.”

27. In or about February 7, 2023, **LEE** stated to a HyperFund investor that “billions” were lost in HyperFund, and that “because I’m responsible for letting it get out of hand, I’m willing to therefore put more energy to make things right.”

28. On or about July 23, 2023, **LEE** announced that he was “rebuilding” Hyperfund.

29. From in or about June 2020 to in or about November 2022, **LEE** and others known and unknown to the Grand Jury caused investors to contribute a total of at least \$1.89 billion to HyperFund.

30. HyperFund never registered its investment platform as an offering and sale of securities with the SEC; nor did it have a valid exemption from this registration requirement.

18 U.S.C. § 371

**COUNT TWO**  
**(Wire Fraud Conspiracy)**

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland further charges that:

1. Paragraphs 1-8 and 11-14 from Count One are incorporated here by reference.
2. From at least as early as June 2020, and continuing through at least as late as November 2022, in the District of Maryland and elsewhere, the defendant,

**SAM LEE,**

did knowingly and willfully conspire, combine, confederate, and agree with CHUNGA, and other persons known and unknown to the Grand Jury, to commit wire fraud, that is, to knowingly and with the intent to defraud, devise and intend to devise a scheme and artifice to defraud and to obtain money and property by means of materially false and fraudulent pretenses, representations and promises, knowing that the pretenses, representations and promises were false and fraudulent when made, and did knowingly transmit and cause to be transmitted, by means of wire communications, in the District of Maryland elsewhere, writings and signals in interstate and foreign commerce certain writings, signs, signals, pictures and sounds, for the purpose of executing such scheme or artifice, to wit: to induce individuals to invest in HyperFund on the basis of false and fraudulent pretenses, promises, and statements.

18 U.S.C. § 1349

**FORFEITURE ALLEGATION**

The Grand Jury for the District of Maryland further alleges that:

1. Pursuant to Fed. R. Crim. P. 32.2, notice is hereby given to the defendant that the United States will seek forfeiture as part of any sentence in accordance with 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and (b)(1), 18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1), 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c), in the event of the defendant's conviction of any of the offenses in this Superseding Indictment.
2. Upon conviction of any of the offenses alleged in Counts One and Two of this Superseding Indictment the defendant,

**SAM LEE,**

shall forfeit to the United States, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C), any property constituting, or derived from, proceeds obtained directly or indirectly, as the result of such offense.

3. The property to be forfeited includes, but is not limited to, a forfeiture money judgment in the amount of approximately \$1.89 billion.

**Substitute Assets**

4. If, as a result of any act or omission of the defendant, any of the property described above as being subject to forfeiture:
  - a. cannot be located upon the exercise of due diligence;
  - b. has been transferred or sold to, or deposited with, a third person;
  - c. has been placed beyond the jurisdiction of the Court;
  - d. has been substantially diminished in value; or,
  - e. has been commingled with other property which cannot be divided without difficulty,

the United States shall be entitled to forfeiture of substitute property up to the value of the forfeitable property described above pursuant to 21 U.S.C. § 853(p), as incorporated by 18 U.S.C.

§ 982(b)(1) and 28 U.S.C. § 2461(c).

18 U.S.C. § 981(a)(1)(C) and (b)(1)

18 U.S.C. § 982(b)(1)

21 U.S.C. § 853(p)

28 U.S.C. § 2461(c)

Date:

Erek L. Barron /AC

Erek L. Barron

United States Attorney

Glenn S. Leon /AC

Glenn S. Leon

Chief, Fraud Section

**SIGNATURE REDACTED**

FOREPERSON

12/5/2024

Date